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Inequalities of Nikolskiï (Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova 38 (1951), 2.3, p. 255) in $L_{2 \pi}^{p}$, $p \geqslant 1$, and of Oswald (Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 7 (1976), (3.4), p. 71; Theorem 1, p. 69), $0<p<1$, are extended to the case of Orlicz spaces $L_{2 \pi}^{\ell}$. © 1987 Academic Press, Inc.

Let $\varphi:\langle 0,2 \pi) \times R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}$be a function of class $\Phi$ (see $[3,7.1]$ ), generating the generalized Orlicz space $L_{2 \pi}^{\varphi}$ and convex with respect to the second variable and let us extend $\varphi$ to $R \times R_{+} 2 \pi$-periodically. The function $\varphi$ is said to satisfy the condition (A), if there is a set $A^{0} \subset R$, mes $A^{0}=0$, and numbers $\bar{c}>0, M>0$ and a measurable function $F_{1}:\left(R \backslash A^{0}\right) \times\left(R \backslash A^{0}\right) \rightarrow R_{+}$such that $\varphi(x, u) \leqslant \varphi(t, \bar{c} u)+F_{1}(t, x)$ for all $u \geqslant 0, t, x \in R \backslash A^{0}$, and $\iint_{Q} F_{1}(t, x) d t d x \leqslant M$ mes $Q$ for every square $Q$ in $\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle \times\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle$. The function $\varphi$ is said to satisfy the condition $\left(B_{\eta}\right)$ with an $\eta>0$, if there exist a set $A \subset\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle$, mes $A=0$, a constant $c>0$, and a nonnegative, $2 \pi$-periodic, measurable function $F(\cdot h)$ on $R$ for $|h| \leqslant \eta$, satisfying the inequality $S_{\eta}=\sup _{|h| \leqslant \eta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, h) d t<\infty$ such that $\varphi(t-h, u) \leqslant \varphi(t, c u)+F(t, h)$ for $u \geqslant 0, t \in\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle \backslash A$ (see [1]). It is easily seen that if $\varphi$ satisfies $B_{\pi}$, then it satisfies also $\left(B_{\eta}\right)$ for any $\eta>\pi$ with the same set $A$, constant $c$ and $S_{\eta}=S_{\pi}$. Obviously, if $\varphi(t, u)$ is independent of the parameter $t$, it satisfies both (A) and ( $\mathrm{B}_{\eta}$ ) for all $\eta>0$.

## 2

The following notation will be adopted. Taking a positive integer $N$ fixed and $x_{j}=2 \pi j N^{-1}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, N-1$, we write for any $N$-dimensional real vector $\bar{v}=v_{0}, \ldots, v_{N-1}$,

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}(\bar{v})=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} \varphi\left(t,\left|v_{j}\right|\right) d t .
$$

This is a convex modular in $R^{N}$, and the Luxemburg norm $\left\|\left\|\|_{,}\right.\right.$ generated by this modular ( $[3,1.5]$ ) will be further denoted by $\left\|\|_{\varphi}^{\infty} \sim\right.$ Let $T_{n}$ be a trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leqslant n$, and let $\bar{v} \in R^{N}$, $T_{n} \bar{v}=\left(T_{n}\left(v_{0}\right), \ldots, T_{n}\left(v_{N-1}\right)\right)$. Thus, $\rho_{\varphi \rho}^{(N)}\left(T_{n} \bar{v}\right)$ defines a convex pseudomodular in the space $H_{n}$ of all trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leqslant n$, generating a pseudonorm $\left\|T_{n} \bar{v}\right\|_{, q}^{(N)}, \bar{v}$ being fixed. We define in $H_{n}$ also another convex modular

$$
\rho_{\varphi p}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right)=\sup _{x} \sum_{j-0}^{N} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j}-1} \varphi\left(t,\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right) d t
$$

defining in $H_{n}$ the Luxemburg norm $\left\|\left\|_{\varphi}^{N}=\right\|\right\|_{\rho, \stackrel{N}{N}}$.

The purpose of the first part of this note is to estimate the pseudonorm $\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)}$ with $\bar{x}=x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}$, and the norm $\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N}$, by means of the Luxemburg norm $\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}$ of $T_{n}$ in the generalized Orlicz space $L_{2 \pi}^{\varphi}$, generated by the modular $\rho(f)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi(t,|f(t)|) d t$.

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi$ be a convex function of the class $\Phi$, satisfying ( A ) and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\pi}\right)$, and let $T_{n} \in H_{n}$. Then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=2 \bar{c} c \max \left(1, S_{\pi}+2 \pi M\right)$. In case of $\varphi(t, u)$ independent of the parameter $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{1}\right)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta_{j} \in\left\langle x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right\rangle$ be chosen so that $\left|T_{n}\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right|=$ $\min _{x_{j} \leqslant t \leqslant x_{j}, 1}\left|T_{n}(t)\right|, \bar{\eta}=\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{N-1}$. Then $\rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(u^{-1} T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\varphi}\left(u^{-1} T_{n}\right)$ for every $u>0$, whence $\left\|T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)}+\left\|T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)}+\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u>0$ and $d \geqslant 1$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}}{d u}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi\left\{t, \frac{1}{u} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j-1}}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right\} d t
$$

Now, by Jensen's inequality with a fixed $t$ and by condition (A), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left\{t, \frac{1}{u} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right\} \leqslant & \frac{N}{2 \pi} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi\left\{s, \frac{2 \pi \bar{c}}{N u}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right|\right\} d s \\
& +\frac{N}{2 \pi} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{i+1}} F(s, t) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, under the assumption $\left(B_{\pi}\right)$ there holds the following Bernstein inequality: $\rho_{\varphi}\left(n^{-1} T_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\varphi}\left(c T_{n}\right)+S_{\pi}$ (see [1, Proposition 2]). Hence we obtain easily

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}}{d u}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{2 \pi \bar{c} c}{N u} T_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{d} C,
$$

where $C=S_{\pi}+2 \pi M$. Now let $d=\max (1, C)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}}{2 d u}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\varphi}\left(\frac{2 \pi \bar{c} c}{N u} T_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this inequality follows that if $u>2 \pi \bar{c} c n N^{-1}$, then the left-hand side of the inequality (4) is $\leqslant 1$. Hence

$$
\left\|T_{n} \bar{x}-T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant 4 \pi \bar{c} c d n N^{-1}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} .
$$

From this and from (3) follows (1). If $\varphi$ does not depend on $t$, we have $M=S_{\pi}=0, c=\bar{c}=d=1$, and we get (2).

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi$ be a convex function of the class $\Phi$, satisfying (A) and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\pi}\right)$, and let $T_{n} \in H_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right) C_{2}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the same as in Lemma 1 and $C_{2}=2 c \max \left(1, S_{\pi}\right)$ for $S_{\pi} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, $C_{2}=c\left(1-S_{\pi}\right)^{-1}$ for $0 \leqslant S \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. In case of $\varphi(t, u)$ independent of $t$ we have

$$
\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1}\right)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} .
$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 1 to $S_{n}(\cdot)=T_{n}(x+\cdot)$ with fixed $x$, obtaining $\left\|S_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right)\left\|S_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}$. However, due to the assumption $\left(B_{\pi}\right)$ we have $\left\|S_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} \leqslant C_{2}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}$ (see [2, Theorem 1]), whence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|S_{n} \bar{x}\right\|_{\varphi}^{(N)} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right) C_{2}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}, \\
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}\left(\frac{\delta S_{n} \bar{x}}{\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right) C_{2}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}}\right) \leqslant \delta<1 \quad \text { for } \quad 0<\delta<1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 1$ and then taking supremum over $x$, we get

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}\left(\frac{T_{n}}{\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1} C_{1}\right) C_{2}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}}\right) \leqslant 1
$$

which yields (5). If $\varphi$ does not depend on $t$, we see easily that we may take $C_{1}=C_{2}=1$.

Let us remark that if $\varphi(t, u)$ is independent of $t$, then obviously $\rho_{\varphi}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right)$, whence $\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N}$. This gives

Corollary. If $\varphi$ is a convex $\varphi$-function (see $[3,1.9]$ ) independent of the parameter and if $T_{n} \in H_{n}$, then

$$
\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N} \leqslant\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1}\right)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi} .
$$

If $\varphi(u)=|u|^{p}, p \geqslant 1$, the corollary gives exactly the Nikolskil̆ inequalities in case of one variable.

## 4

We shall now assume $\varphi$ to be a concave $\varphi$-function without parameter, strongly $s$-convex with an $s \in(0,1\rangle$, i.e., $\tilde{\varphi}(u)=\varphi\left(u^{1 / s}\right)$ is a convex function. Then for $T_{n} \in H_{n}$ we have

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{N} \sup _{x} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right)
$$

by $\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{N}, s}$ we denote the respective $s$-homogeneous norm in $H_{n}$ (see [3, 1.5]). Besides $\left\|\|_{\varphi}^{N, s}\right.$ we shall consider in $H_{n}$ also the $s$-homogeneous norm $\left\|\|_{\varphi}^{s}\right.$ induced in $H_{n}$ by $L_{2 \pi}^{\varphi}$ generated by $\varphi$. The following is easily calculated:

Lemma 2. If $\tilde{\psi}$ is a convex $\varphi$-function and

$$
K_{1, n}(t)=\left(\frac{\sin (1 / 2) n t}{n \sin (1 / 2) t}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad 0<t<2 \pi
$$

then for every $C>0$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \tilde{\psi}\left(C K_{1, n}(t)\right) d t \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi^{3} \psi\left(4 C \pi^{-2}\right) n^{-1}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi$ be a concave, strongly s-convex $\varphi$-function without parameter, $0<s \leqslant 1, \quad$ satisfying the condition $\left(\Delta_{2}\right): \psi(u)=$ $\sup _{v>0} \varphi(u v) / \varphi(v)<\infty$ for all $u>0$. Let $\tilde{\psi}(u)=\psi\left(u^{(2 r-1) / 2}\right), u \geqslant 0$, with an integer $r \geqslant(s+2) / 2 s$. Then for every $T_{n} \in H_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\varphi}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{r} \widetilde{\psi}\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1}\right) \rho_{\varphi}\left(T_{n}\right), \\
& \left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{N, s} \leqslant 2^{r} \widetilde{\psi}\left(1+2 \pi n N^{-1}\right)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The left-hand side inequalities follow as in the remark to Theorem 1. To prove the right-hand ones, we denote $t_{k}^{(n)}=(2 k+1) \pi / 2 n$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1$. Then, applying Lemma 2 from [5, 1.7, p.68], subadditivity of $\varphi$ and the definition of $\psi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{2^{r} n-1}\left|T_{n}\left(t_{n}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|\left|K_{1, n}\left(x+x_{j}+t_{k}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|^{(2 r-1) / 2}\right\} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\prime} n-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(t_{n}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|\right) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi\left(\left|K_{1, n}\left(x+x_{j}-t_{k}^{\left(2^{2-1} n\right)}\right)\right|^{(2 r-1) / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r \geqslant(s+2) / 2 s$, so $p=2 /(2 r-1) \leqslant 1$. Since $\varphi$ is strongly $s$-convex, so is $\psi$; hence $\psi$ is also strongly $p$-convex, whence $\bar{\psi}$ is convex. Moreover,

Hence

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant \rho_{\psi}^{N}\left(K_{1, n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{2^{r} n-1} \varphi\left(\mid T_{n}\left(t_{k}^{\left.\left(2^{\left.\prime-1_{n}\right)}\right) \mid\right)}\right.\right.
$$

for every $x$. Now, let $\eta_{j} \in\left\langle x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right\rangle$ be as in the proof of Lemma 1 and let $\rho_{\varphi}^{(N)}(\bar{v})$ be as in 2 , with $\tilde{\psi}$ in place of $\varphi$. Then $\rho_{\psi}^{(N)}\left(T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\psi}\left(T_{n}\right)$. Hence

$$
\rho_{\dot{\psi}}^{(N)}\left(T_{n} \bar{x}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\psi}^{(N)}\left(2 T_{n} \bar{x}-2 T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\bar{\psi}}\left(2 T_{n}\right) .
$$

Calculating as in the proof of Lemma 1 with $d=1$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}$ and applying Bernstein inequality, we obtain

$$
\rho_{\psi}^{(N)}\left(2 T_{n} \bar{x}-2 T_{n} \bar{\eta}\right) \leqslant \rho_{\bar{\psi}}\left(4 \pi n N^{-1} T_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\rho_{\psi}^{(N)}\left(T_{n} \bar{x}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\psi}\left(4 \pi n N^{-1} T_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\Psi}\left(2 T_{n}\right) .
$$

Applying this inequality to $S_{n}(\cdot)=T_{n}(x+\cdot)$ with a fixed $x$, we obtain

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widetilde{\psi}\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\bar{\psi}}\left(4 \pi n N^{-1} T_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\bar{\psi}}\left(2 T_{n}\right) .
$$

Taking supremum over all $x$, we get

$$
\rho_{\tilde{\psi}}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\widetilde{\psi}}\left(4 \pi n N^{-1} T_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\Psi}\left(2 T_{n}\right)
$$

for every $T_{n} \in H_{n}$. Now, we apply this inequality to $K_{1, n} \in H_{n}$ in place of $T_{n}$. By Lemma 2 and superadditivity of $\tilde{\psi}$, we thus obtain

$$
\rho_{\bar{\psi}}^{N}\left(K_{1 . n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi^{3}}{4 n}\left(\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{16 n}{N \pi}\right)+\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \pi}{n} \tilde{\psi}\left(1+\frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+x_{j}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \pi}{n} \tilde{\psi}\left(1+\frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\prime} n-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(t_{k}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|\right)
$$

Taking supremum over all $x$, we obtain

$$
\rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{r} \widetilde{\psi}\left(1+\frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) \frac{2 \pi}{2^{r} n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{r} n} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(t_{k}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|\right)
$$

Writing $S_{n}(\cdot)=T_{n}(x+\cdot)$ for an arbitrary $x$, we have obviously $\rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(S_{n}\right)=\rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right)$. Hence, applying the above inequality to $S_{n}$ in place of $T_{n}$, we get

$$
\rho_{\varphi p}^{v}\left(T_{n}\right)=\rho_{\varphi p}^{N}\left(S_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{r} \tilde{\psi}\left(1+\frac{2 \pi n}{N}\right) \frac{2 \pi}{2^{r} n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{r} n-1} \varphi\left(\left|T_{n}\left(x+t_{k}^{\left(2^{r+1} n\right)}\right)\right|\right) .
$$

Integrating both sides over $\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle$, we obtain

$$
2 \pi \rho_{\varphi}^{N}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{r+1} \widetilde{\psi}\left(1+2 \pi n N{ }^{1}\right) \rho_{\varphi}\left(T_{n}\right),
$$

which is the first of the required inequalities. The second inequality follows easily from the first one.

Let us remark that taking $\varphi(u)=|u|^{p}, 0<p<1$, Theorem 2 yields the inequalities of Oswald [5, 3.4, p. 71].

## 5

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi$ be a concave, s-convex function (see [3, 1.9.I]) depending on the parameter, satisfying $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\pi}\right)$ and the condition $\left(\Delta_{2}\right)$ : $\psi(t, u)=\sup _{v>0} \varphi(t, u v) / \varphi(t, v)<\infty$ for all $u \geqslant 0$ and $t \in\langle 0,2 \pi\rangle$. Then there exists a $C>0$ such that for every $T_{n} \in H_{n}$ there holds

$$
\left\|T_{n}^{v}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s} \leqslant C^{s} n^{s v}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s} \quad \text { for } \quad v=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. Obviously, it is sufficient to perform the proof for $v=1$. Since $\varphi$ is $s$-convex, so are $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}(u)=\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant 2 \pi} \psi(t, u), \psi(1)=1$. Choosing a fixed positive integer $r$ such that $2 r s>1$, we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2 r}}\right) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2 r s}} \bar{\psi}(1)<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $t_{k}^{n}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2 and applying the inequality

$$
\left|T_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{2^{r} n-1} \frac{2^{r} n}{4 n^{2 r}}\left|T_{n}\left(x+t_{k}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)\right|\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} t_{k}^{\left(2^{r-1} n\right)}\right)^{-2 r}
$$

(see [5, p. 69]), subadditivity of $\varphi$ and inequality (6) give for every $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\varphi}\left(\lambda T_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2 r s}}\right) \rho_{\varphi}\left(\lambda^{2 r^{2}+r-2} n T_{n}\left(\cdot+t_{k}^{\left(2 r^{--1 n}\right)}\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by [2, Theorem 1], we have

$$
\| \lambda 2^{2 r^{2}+r-2} n T_{n}\left(-+t_{k}^{\left(2 r^{r-1} n\right)}\left\|_{\varphi}^{s} \leqslant C_{2} \lambda^{s} 2^{\left(2 r^{2}+r-2\right) s} n^{s}\right\| T_{n} \|_{\varphi}^{s},\right.
$$

where $C_{2}$ is as in Theorem 1. Choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left\{2^{2 r^{2}+r-2} n C_{2}^{1 / s}\left(\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s}\right)^{1 / s}\right\}^{-1}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the left-hand side of the last inequality becomes $\leqslant 1$ and so, by (7), we obtain

$$
\rho_{\varphi \rho}\left(\lambda T_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2 r s}} .
$$

If $C_{3}$ is the maximum of 1 and of the right-hand side of the last inequality, we get $\rho_{\varphi}\left(\lambda T_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant C_{3}, C_{3} \geqslant 1$. By $s$-convexity of $\varphi, \rho_{\varphi}\left(\lambda C_{3}^{-1 / s} T_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 1$. Hence $\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s} \leqslant \lambda^{-s} C_{3}=C n^{s}\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\varphi}^{s}$, where $C=2^{\left(2 r^{2}+r-2\right) s} C_{2} C_{3}$.

Let us remark that taking $\varphi(u)=|u|^{p}, 0<p<1$, we obtain the Bernstein-type inequality of Oswald [5, 2.2, p. 70].

Theorems 2 and 3 may be applied to estimate the averaged moduli of smoothness in $L_{2 \pi}^{\varphi}$ by means of best one-sided approximations by trigonometric polynomials in $L_{2 \pi}^{\varphi}$.
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